arXiv:0806.2053v1 [math.QA] 12 Jun 2008

CLASSIFICATION OF QUASI-TRIGONOMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF THE CLASSICAL YANG–BAXTER EQUATION

IULIA POP AND ALEXANDER STOLIN

Abstract. It was proved by Montaner and Zelmanov that up to classical twisting Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ fall into four classes. Here $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra. It turns out that classical twists within one of these four classes are in a one-to-one correspondence with the so-called quasi-trigonometric solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation. In this paper we give a complete list of the quasi-trigonometric solutions in terms of sub-diagrams of the certain Dynkin diagrams related to $\mathfrak{g}$. We also explain how to quantize the corresponding Lie bialgebra structures.

1. Introduction

The present paper constitutes a step towards the classification of quantum groups. We describe an algorithm for the quantization of all Lie bialgebra structures on the polynomial Lie algebra $P = \mathfrak{g}[u]$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple complex finite-dimensional Lie algebra.

Lie bialgebra structures on P, up to so-called *classical twisting*, have been classified by F. Montaner and E. Zelmanov in [9]. We recall that given a Lie co-bracket δ on P, a *classical twist* is an element $s \in P \wedge P$ such that

$$\mathrm{CYB}(s) + \mathrm{Alt}(\delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(s) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

where CYB is the l.h.s. of the classical Yang-Baxter equation.

We also note that a classical twist does not change the classical double $D_\delta(P)$ associated to a given Lie bialgebra structure δ. If δ^s is the twisting co-bracket via s, then the Lie bialgebras (P, δ) and (P, δ^s) are in the same class, i.e. there exists a Lie algebra isomorphism between $D_\delta(P)$ and $D_{\delta^s}(P)$, preserving the canonical forms and compatible with the canonical embeddings of P into the doubles.

According to the results of Montaner and Zelmanov, there are four Lie bialgebra structures on P up to classical twisting. Let us present them:

Case 1. Consider $\delta_1 = 0$. Consequently, $D_1(P) = P + \varepsilon P^*$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. The symmetric nondegenerate invariant form Q is given by the canonical pairing between P and εP^*.

Lie bialgebra structures which fall in this class are the elements $s \in P \wedge P$ satisfying $\mathrm{CYB}(s) = 0$. Such elements are in a one-to-one correspondence with finite-dimensional quasi-Frobenius Lie subalgebras of P.

Case 2. Let us consider the co-bracket δ_2 given by

$$\delta_2(p(u)) = [r_2(u, v), p(u) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p(v)], \tag{1.2}$$

where $r_2(u, v) = \Omega/(u - v)$. Here Ω denotes the quadratic Casimir element on $\mathfrak{g}$.

It was proved in [10] that the associated classical double is $D_2(P) = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))$, together with the canonical invariant form

$$Q(f(u), g(u)) = Res_{u=0} K(f, g), \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 17B62; Secondary 17B81.

Key words and phrases. Classical Yang–Baxter equation, r-matrix, Manin triple, parabolic subalgebra, generalized Belavin–Drinfeld data.

where K denotes the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))$ over $\mathbb{C}((u^{-1}))$.

Moreover, the Lie bialgebra structures which are obtained by twisting δ_2 are in a one-to-one correspondence with so-called *rational solutions* of the CYBE, according to [10].

Case 3. In this case, let us consider the Lie bialgebra structure given by

$$\delta_3(p(u)) = [r_3(u,v), p(u)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes p(v)], \tag{1.4}$$

with $r_3(u,v) = v\Omega/(u-v) + \Sigma_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0$, where e_α, f_α are root vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and Ω_0 is the Cartan part of Ω.

It was proved in [6] that the associated classical double is $D_3(P) = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))\times\mathfrak{g}$, together with the invariant nondegenerate form Q defined by

$$Q((f(u),a),(g(u),b)) = K(f(u),g(u))_0 - K(a,b), \tag{1.5}$$

where the index zero means that one takes the free term in the series expansion. According to [6], there is a one-to-one correspondence between Lie bialgebra structures which are obtained by twisting δ_3 and so-called *quasi-trigonometric solutions* of the CYBE.

Case 4. We consider the co-bracket on P given by

$$\delta_4(p(u)) = [r_4(u,v), p(u)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes p(v)], \tag{1.6}$$

with $r_4(u,v) = uv\Omega/(v-u)$.

It was shown in [13] that the classical double associated to the Lie bialgebra structure δ_4 is $D_4(P) = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))\times(\mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathbb{C}[\varepsilon])$, where $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. The form Q is described as follows: if $f(u) = \sum_{-\infty}^{N} a_k u^k$ and $g(u) = \sum_{-\infty}^{N} b_k u^k$, then

$$Q(f(u)+A_0+A_1\varepsilon, g(u)+B_0+B_1\varepsilon) = Res_{u=0}u^{-2}K(f,g) - K(A_0,B_1) - K(A_1,B_0).$$

Lie bialgebra structures which are in the same class as δ_4 are in a one-to-one correspondence with *quasi-rational r-matrices*, as it was proved in [13].

Regarding the quantization of these Lie bialgebra structures on P, the following conjecture stated in [6] and proved by G. Halbout in [4] plays a crucial role.

Theorem 1.1. *Any classical twist can be extended to a quantum twist, i.e., if (L,δ) is any Lie bialgebra, s is a classical twist, and (A,Δ,ε) is a quantization of (L,δ), there exists $F\in A\otimes A$ such that*

(1) $F = 1 + O(\hbar)$ and $F - F^{21} = \hbar s + O(\hbar^2)$,
(2) $(\Delta\otimes\mathrm{id})(F)F^{12} - (\mathrm{id}\otimes\Delta)(F)F^{23} = 0$,
(3) $(\varepsilon\otimes\mathrm{id})(F) = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\varepsilon)(F) = 1$.

Moreover gauge equivalence classes of quantum twists for A are in bijection with gauge equivalence classes of $\hbar$-dependent classical twists $s_\hbar = \hbar s_1 + O(\hbar^2)$ for L.

Let us suppose that we have a Lie bialgebra structure δ on P. Then δ is obtained by twisting one of the four structures δ_i from Cases 1–4. This above theorem implies that in order to find a quantization for (P,δ), it is sufficient to determine the quantization of δ_i and then find the quantum twist whose classical limit is s. Let us note that the quantization of (P,δ_3) is well-known. The corresponding quantum algebra was introduced by V. Tolstoy in [14] and it is denoted by $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$.

The quasi-trigonometric solutions of the CYBE were studied in [7], where it was proved that they fall into classes, which are in a one-to-one correspondence with vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let us consider corresponding roots, namely simple roots $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\cdots\alpha_r$ and $\alpha_0 = -\alpha_{\max}$. In [7] quasi-trigonometric solutions corresponding to the simple roots which have coefficient one in the decomposition of the maximal root were classified. It was also proved there that quasi-trigonometric solutions corresponding to α_0 are in a one-to-one correspondence with constant solutions of the modified CYBE classified in [1] and the polynomial part of these solutions is constant. The aim of our paper

is to obtain a complete classification of quasi-trigonometric solutions of the CYBE. In particular, we describe all the quasi-trigonometric solutions with non-trivial polynomial part for $\mathfrak{g} = o(5)$.

2. Lie bialgebra structures associated with quasi-trigonometric solutions

Definition 2.1. A solution X of the CYBE is called *quasi-trigonometric* if it is of the form $X(u,v) = v\Omega/(u-v) + p(u,v)$, where p is a polynomial with coefficients in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$.

The class of quasi-trigonometric solutions is closed under *gauge transformations*. We first need to introduce the following notation: Let R be a commutative ring and let L be a Lie algebra over R. Let us denote by $\mathrm{Aut}_R(L)$ the group of automorphisms of L over R. In other words we consider such automorphisms of L, which satisfy the condition $f(rl) = rf(l)$, where $r \in R,\ l \in L$.

At this point we note that there exists a natural embedding

$$\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[u]}(\mathfrak{g}[u]) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}((u^{-1}))}(\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))),$$

defined by the formula

$$\sigma(u^{-k}x) = u^{-k}\sigma(x)\,,$$

for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[u]}(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ and $x \in \mathfrak{g}[u]$.

Now if X is a quasi-trigonometric solution and $\sigma(u) \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[u]}(\mathfrak{g}[u])$, one can check that the function $Y(u,v) := (\sigma(u)\otimes\sigma(v))(X(u,v))$ is again a quasi-trigonometric solution. X and Y are said to be *gauge equivalent*.

Theorem 2.2. *There exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between quasi–trigonometric solutions of CYBE for $\mathfrak{g}$ and linear subspaces W of $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$ which satisfy the following properties:*

(1) W is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$ and $W \supseteq u^{-N}\mathfrak{g}[[u^{-1}]]$ for some positive integer N.

(2) $W \oplus \mathfrak{g}[u] = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$.

(3) W is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the invariant bilinear form Q given by (1.5).

Let $\sigma(u) \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[u]}(\mathfrak{g}[u])$. Let $\widetilde{\sigma}(u) = \sigma(u) \oplus \sigma(0)$ be the induced automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 2.3. We will say that W_1 and W_2 are *gauge equivalent* if there exists $\sigma(u) \in \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}[u]}(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ such that $W_1 = \widetilde{\sigma}(u)W_2$.

It was checked in [6] that two quasi-trigonometric solutions are gauge equivalent if and only if the corresponding subalgebras are gauge equivalent.

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ with the corresponding set of roots R and a choice of simple roots Γ. Denote by $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ the root space corresponding to a root α. Let $\mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\alpha(h) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\alpha \in R$. Consider the valuation on $\mathbb{C}((u^{-1}))$ defined by $v(\sum_{k\geq n} a_k u^{-k}) = n$. For any root α and any $h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R})$, set $M_\alpha(h) := \{f \in \mathbb{C}((u^{-1})) : v(f) \geq \alpha(h)\}$. Consider

$$\mathbb{O}_h := \mathfrak{h}[[u^{-1}]] \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha\in R} M_\alpha(h) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_\alpha). \tag{2.1}$$

As it was shown in [7], any maximal order W which corresponds to a quasi-trigonometric solution of the CYBE, can be embedded (up to some gauge equivalence) into $\mathbb{O}_h \times \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover h may be taken as a vertex of the standard simplex $\Delta_{st} = \{h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathbb{R}) : \alpha(h) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Gamma \text{ and } \alpha_{\max} \leq 1\}$.

Vertices of the above simplex correspond to vertices of the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$, the correspondence being given by the following rule:

$$0 \leftrightarrow \alpha_{\max}$$

$$h_i \leftrightarrow \alpha_i,$$

where $\alpha_i(h_j) = \delta_{ij}/k_j$ and k_j are given by the relation $\sum k_j\alpha_j = \alpha_{\max}$. We will write $\mathbb{O}_\alpha$ instead of $\mathbb{O}_h$ if α is the root which corresponds to the vertex h.

By straightforward computations, one can check the following two results:

Lemma 2.4. *Let R be the set of all roots and α an arbitrary simple root. Let k be the coefficient of α in the decomposition of $\alpha_{\max}$.*

For each r, $-k \leq r \leq k$, let R_r denote the set of all roots which contain α with coefficient r. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{\beta \in R_0} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$ and $\mathfrak{g}_r = \sum_{\beta \in R_r} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$. Then

$$\mathbb{O}_\alpha = \sum_{r=1}^{k} u^{-1}\mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_r + \sum_{r=1-k}^{0} \mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_r + u\mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_{-k}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let α be a simple root and k its coefficient in the decomposition of $\alpha_{\max}$. Let Δ_α denote the set of all pairs (a, b), $a \in \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$, $b \in \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + ... + \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$, $a = a_0 + a_{-k}$, $b = b_0 + b_{-1} + ... + b_{-k}$ and $a_0 = b_0$. Then*

(i) The orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ with respect to Q is given by

$$(\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g})^\perp = \sum_{r=-k}^{-1} \mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_r + \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} u^{-1}\mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_r + u^{-2}\mathbb{O}\mathfrak{g}_k. \tag{2.3}$$

(ii) There exists an isomorphism σ

$$\frac{\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}}{(\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g})^\perp} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_k \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-k}) \times \mathfrak{g} \tag{2.4}$$

given by

$$\sigma((f, a) + (\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g})^\perp) = (a_0 + b_0 + c_0, a),$$

where the element $f \in \mathbb{O}_\alpha$ is decomposed according to Lemma 2.4:

$$f = u^{-1}(a_0 + a_1u^{-1} + ...) + (b_0 + b_1u^{-1} + ...) + u(c_0 + c_1u^{-1} + ...) + ...,$$

$a_i \in \mathfrak{g}_k$, $b_i \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, $c_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

(iii) $(\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}[u]$ is sent via the isomorphism σ to Δ_α.

Let us make an important remark. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_k + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with the semisimple Lie algebra whose Dynkin diagram is obtained from the extended Dynkin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$ by crossing out α. Let us denote this subalgebra by L_α. The Lie algebra $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with the following invariant bilinear form:

$$Q'((a, b), (c, d)) = K(a, c) - K(b, d), \tag{2.5}$$

for any $a, c \in L_\alpha$ and $b, d \in \mathfrak{g}$.

On the other hand, $\mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ is the parabolic subalgebra $P^+_{-\alpha_{\max}}$ of L_α which corresponds to $-\alpha_{\max}$. The Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + ... + \mathfrak{g}_{-k}$ is the parabolic subalgebra P^-_α of $\mathfrak{g}$ which corresponds to the root α and contains the negative Borel subalgebra. Let us also note that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is precisely the reductive part of P^-_α and of $P^+_{-\alpha_{\max}}$. We can conclude that the set Δ_α consists of all pairs $(a, b) \in P^+_{-\alpha_{\max}} \times P^-_\alpha$ whose reductive parts are equal.

Theorem 2.6. *Let α be a simple root. There is a one-to-one correspondence between Lagrangian subalgebras W of $\mathfrak{g}((u^{-1})) \times \mathfrak{g}$ which are contained in $\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ and transversal to $\mathfrak{g}[u]$, and Lagrangian subalgebras $\mathfrak{l}$ of $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ transversal to Δ_α (with respect to the bilinear form Q').*

Proof. Since W is a subspace of $\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$, let $\mathfrak{l}$ be its image in $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$. Because W is transversal to $\mathfrak{g}[u]$, one can check that $\mathfrak{l}$ is transversal to the image of $(\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}) \cap \mathfrak{g}[u]$ in $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$, which is exactly Δ_α. The fact that W is Lagrangian implies that $\mathfrak{l}$ is also Lagrangian.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Lagrangian subalgebra of $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ transversal to Δ_α, then its preimage W in $\mathbb{O}_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ is transversal to $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ and Lagrangian as well.

□

The Lagrangian subalgebras $\mathfrak{l}$ of $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ which are transversal to Δ_α, can be determined using results of P. Delorme [2] on the classification of Manin triples. We are interested in determining Manin triples of the form $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$.

Let us recall Delorme's construction of so-called *generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data*. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a finite-dimensional complex, reductive, Lie algebra and B a symmetric, invariant, nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{r}$. The goal in [2] is to classify all Manin triples of $\mathfrak{r}$ up to conjugacy under the action on $\mathfrak{r}$ of the simply connected Lie group $\mathcal{R}$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{r}$.

One denotes by $\mathfrak{r}_+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_-$ respectively the sum of the simple ideals of $\mathfrak{r}$ for which the restriction of B is equal to a positive (negative) multiple of the Killing form. Then the derived ideal of $\mathfrak{r}$ is the sum of $\mathfrak{r}_+$ and $\mathfrak{r}_-$.

Let $\mathfrak{j}_0$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{r}$, $\mathfrak{b}_0$ a Borel subalgebra containing $\mathfrak{j}_0$ and $\mathfrak{b}_0'$ be its opposite. Choose $\mathfrak{b}_0 \cap \mathfrak{r}_+$ as Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{r}_+$ and $\mathfrak{b}_0' \cap \mathfrak{r}_-$ as Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{r}_-$. Denote by Σ_+ (resp., Σ_-) the set of simple roots of $\mathfrak{r}_+$ (resp., $\mathfrak{r}_-$) with respect to the above Borel subalgebras. Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-$ and denote by $\mathcal{W} = (H_\alpha, X_\alpha, Y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Sigma_+}$ a Weyl system of generators of $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$.

Definition 2.7 (Delorme, [2])**.** One calls $(A, A', \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ *generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data* with respect to B when the following five conditions are satisfied:

(1) A is a bijection from a subset Γ_+ of Σ_+ on a subset Γ_- of Σ_- such that

$$B(H_{A\alpha}, H_{A\beta}) = -B(H_\alpha, H_\beta), \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_+.$$

(2) A' is a bijection from a subset Γ'_+ of Σ_+ on a subset Γ'_- of Σ_- such that

$$B(H_{A'\alpha}, H_{A'\beta}) = -B(H_\alpha, H_\beta), \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma'_+.$$

(3) If $C = A^{-1}A'$ is the map defined on dom(C) $= \{\alpha \in \Gamma'_+ : \mathrm{A}'\alpha \in \Gamma_-\}$ by $C\alpha = A^{-1}A'\alpha$, then C satisfies:

For all $\alpha \in$ dom(C), there exists a positive integer n such that α,..., $C^{n-1}\alpha \in$ dom(C) and $C^n\alpha \notin$ dom(C).

(4) $\mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$) is a complex vector subspace of $\mathfrak{j}_0$, included and Lagrangian in the orthogonal $\mathfrak{a}$ (resp., $\mathfrak{a}'$) to the subspace generated by H_α, $\alpha \in \Gamma_+ \cup \Gamma_-$ (resp., $\Gamma'_+ \cup \Gamma'_-$).

(5) If $\mathfrak{f}$ is the subspace of $\mathfrak{j}_0$ generated by the family $H_\alpha + H_{A\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$, and $\mathfrak{f}'$ is defined similarly, then

$$(\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}) \cap (\mathfrak{f}' \oplus \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}) = 0.$$

Let R_+ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{j}_0$ in $\mathfrak{r}$ which are linear combinations of elements of Γ_+. One defines similarly R_-, R'_+ and R'_-. The bijections A and A' can then be extended by linearity to bijections from R_+ to R_- (resp., R'_+ to R'_-). If A satisfies condition (1), then there exists a unique isomorphism τ between the subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_+$ of $\mathfrak{r}$ spanned by X_α, H_α and Y_α, $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$, and the subalgebra $\mathfrak{m}_-$ spanned by X_α, H_α and Y_α, $\alpha \in \Gamma_-$, such that $\tau(H_\alpha) = H_{A\alpha}$, $\tau(X_\alpha) = X_{A\alpha}$, $\tau(Y_\alpha) = Y_{A\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$. If A' satisfies (2), then one defines similarly an isomorphism τ' between $\mathfrak{m}'_+$ and $\mathfrak{m}'_-$.

Theorem 2.8 (Delorme, [2])**.** *(i) Let $\mathcal{BD} = (A, A', \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ be generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data, with respect to B. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be the sum of the root spaces relative to roots α of $\mathfrak{j}_0$ in $\mathfrak{b}_0$, which are not in $R_+ \cup R_-$. Let $\mathfrak{i} := \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{k} := \{X + \tau(X) : X \in \mathfrak{m}_+\}$.*

Let $\mathfrak{n}'$ be the sum of the root spaces relative to roots α of $\mathfrak{j}_0$ in $\mathfrak{b}'_0$, which are not in $R'_+ \cup R'_-$. Let $\mathfrak{i}' := \mathfrak{k}' \oplus \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'} \oplus \mathfrak{n}'$, where $\mathfrak{k}' := \{X + \tau'(X) : X \in \mathfrak{m}'_+\}$.

Then $(B, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}')$ is a Manin triple.

(ii) Every Manin triple is conjugate by an element of $\mathcal{R}$ to a unique Manin triple of this type.

Let us consider the particular case $\mathfrak{r} = L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$. We set $\Sigma_+ := (\Gamma^{ext} \setminus \{\alpha\}) \times \{0\}$, $\Sigma_- := \{0\} \times \Gamma$ and $\Sigma := \Sigma_+ \cup \Sigma_-$.

Denote by $(X_\gamma, Y_\gamma, H_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ a Weyl system of generators for $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the root system Γ. Denote $-\alpha_{\max}$ by α_0. Let H_{α_0} be the coroot of α_0. We choose $X_{\alpha_0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_0}$, $Y_{\alpha_0} \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_0}$ such that $[X_{\alpha_0}, Y_{\alpha_0}] = H_{\alpha_0}$.

A Weyl system of generators in $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ (with respect to the root system Σ) is the following: $X_{(\beta,0)} = (X_\beta, 0)$, $H_{(\beta,0)} = (H_\beta, 0)$, $Y_{(\beta,0)} = (Y_\beta, 0)$, for any $\beta \in \Gamma^{ext} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, and $X_{(0,\gamma)} = (0, X_\gamma)$, $H_{(0,\gamma)} = (0, H_\gamma)$, $Y_{(0,\gamma)} = (0, Y_\gamma)$, for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

By applying the general result of Delorme, one can deduce the description of the Manin triples of the form $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$.

First, let us denote by i the embedding

$$\Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\} \hookrightarrow \Gamma^{ext} \setminus \{\alpha\},$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ denotes the reductive part of P_α^- and has the Dynkin diagram $\Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}$. Then i induces an inclusion

$$\mathfrak{g}_0 \hookrightarrow L_\alpha.$$

We will also denote this embedding by i.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $S := \Gamma \setminus \{\alpha\}$ and $\zeta_S := \{h \in \mathfrak{h} : \beta(h) = 0, \forall \beta \in S\}$. For any Manin triple $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$, there exists a unique generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data $\mathcal{BD} = (A, A', \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ where $A : i(S) \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\} \times S$, $A(i(\gamma), 0) = (0, \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_S)$, such that $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$ is conjugate to the Manin triple $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{BD}} = (Q', \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}')$. Moreover, up to a conjugation which preserves Δ_α, one has $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{i}'$.*

Proof. Let us suppose that $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$ is a Manin triple. Then there exists a unique generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data $\mathcal{BD} = (A, A', \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ such that the corresponding $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{BD}} = (Q', \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i}')$ is conjugate to $(Q', \Delta_\alpha, \mathfrak{l})$. Since $\mathfrak{i}$ and Δ_α are conjugate and Δ_α is "under" the parabolic subalgebra $P_{\alpha_0}^+ \times P_\alpha^-$, it follows that $\mathfrak{i}$ is also "under" this parabolic and thus $\mathfrak{a} = \zeta_S \times \zeta_S$. According to [2], p. 136, the map A should be an isometry between $i(S) \times \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \times S$. Let us write $A(i(\gamma), 0) = (0, \tilde{A}(\gamma))$, where $\tilde{A} : S \to S$ is an isometry which will be determined below.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the image of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ in L_α via the embedding i. Then $\mathfrak{m}$ is spanned by $X_{i(\beta)}$, $H_{i(\beta)}$, $Y_{i(\beta)}$ for all $\beta \in S$.

According to Theorem 2.8, the Lagrangian subspace $\mathfrak{i}$ contains $\mathfrak{k} := \{(X, \tau(X)) : X \in \mathfrak{m}\}$, where τ satisfies the following conditions: $\tau(X_{i(\beta)}) = X_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, $\tau(H_{i(\beta)}) = H_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, $\tau(Y_{i(\beta)}) = Y_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, for all $\beta \in S$.

We obtain $\tau i(X_\beta) = X_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, $\tau i(Y_\beta) = Y_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, $\tau i(H_\beta) = H_{\tilde{A}\beta}$, for all $\beta \in S$.

Since $\mathfrak{i}$ and Δ_α are conjugate, we must have $\mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_S)$. Moreover τi has to be an inner automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. It follows that the isometry $\tilde{A} : S \to S$ which corresponds to this inner automorphism must be the identity. Thus $\tilde{A} = \mathrm{id}$ and this ends the proof. □

We will consider triples of the form $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}')$, where $\Gamma_1' \subseteq \Gamma^{ext} \setminus \{\alpha\}$, $\Gamma_2' \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\tilde{A}'$ is an isometry between Γ_1' and Γ_2'.

Definition 2.10. We say that a triple $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}')$ is of *type I* if $\alpha \notin \Gamma_2'$ and $(\Gamma_1', i(\Gamma_2'), i\tilde{A}')$ is an admissible triple in the sense of [1]. The triple $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}')$ is of *type II* if $\alpha \in \Gamma_2'$ and $\tilde{A}'(\beta) = \alpha$, for some $\beta \in \Gamma_1'$ and $(\Gamma_1' \setminus \{\beta\}, i(\Gamma_2' \setminus \{\alpha\}), i\tilde{A}')$ is an admissible triple in the sense of [1].

Using the definition of generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data, one can easily check the following:

Lemma 2.11. *Let $A : i(S) \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\} \times S$, $A(i(\gamma), 0) = (0, \gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_S)$. A quadruple $(A, A', \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ is generalized Belavin-Drinfeld data if and only if the pair $(A', \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $A' : \Gamma_1' \times \{0\} \longrightarrow \{0\} \times \Gamma_2'$ is given by $A'(\gamma, 0) = (0, \tilde{A}'(\gamma))$ and $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}')$ is of type I or II from above.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}$ spanned by pairs $(H_{i(\gamma)}, H_\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in S$ and $\mathfrak{f}'$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h}$ spanned by pairs $(H_\beta, H_{\tilde{A}'(\beta)})$ for all $\beta \in \Gamma_1'$. Let $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ be Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{a}' := \{(h_1, h_2) \in \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} : \beta(h_1) = 0, \gamma(h_2) = 0, \forall \beta \in \Gamma_1', \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_2'\}$. Then

$$(\mathfrak{f}' \oplus \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}) \cap (\mathfrak{f} \oplus \mathfrak{i}_\mathfrak{a}) = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Remark 2.12. One can always find $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ which is a Lagrangian subspace of $\mathfrak{a}'$ and satisfies condition (2.6). This is a consequence of [2] Remark 2, p. 142. A proof of this elementary fact can also be found in [12], Lemma 5.2.

Summing up the previous results we conclude the following:

Theorem 2.13. *Let α be a simple root. Suppose that $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Lagrangian subalgebra of $L_\alpha \times \mathfrak{g}$ transversal to Δ_α. Then, up to a conjugation which preserves Δ_α, one has $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{i}'$, where $\mathfrak{i}'$ is constructed from a pair formed by a triple $(\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \tilde{A}')$ of type I or II and a Lagrangian subspace $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ of $\mathfrak{a}'$ such that (2.6) is satisfied.*

Remark 2.14. The above algorithm covers also the case when the simple root α has coefficient $k = 1$ in the decomposition of $\alpha_{\max}$, which was considered in [7]. In this form we do not need to use the Cartan involution which appeared there.

Remark 2.15. In [7] we constructed two examples of quasi-trigonometric solutions for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$ related to the Cremmer-Gervais r-matrix. Let us apply the present algorithm for the simple root α_n. Let $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n\}$ and $\alpha_0 = -\alpha_{\max}$.

We consider the triple $(\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \tilde{A}')$, where $\Gamma'_1 = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ...\alpha_{n-2}\}$, $\Gamma'_2 = \{\alpha_1, ...\alpha_{n-1}\}$ and $\tilde{A}'(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j+1}$, for $j = 0, ..., n-2$. This is a triple of type I, cf. Definition 2.10.

Another example is given by the following triple: $\Gamma'_1 = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, ...\alpha_{n-1}\}$, $\Gamma'_2 = \{\alpha_1, ...\alpha_n\}$ and $\tilde{A}'(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j+1}$, for $j = 1, ..., n-1$. This is a triple of type II, cf. Definition 2.10.

The above triples together with the corresponding subspaces $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ (which we do not compute here) induce two Lagrangian subalgebras of Cremmer-Gervais type.

Theorem 2.13 can also be applied to roots which have coefficient greater than one. As an example, let us classify solutions in $\mathfrak{g} = o(5)$.

Corollary 2.16. *Let α_1, α_2 be the simple roots in $o(5)$ and $\alpha_0 = -2\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$. Up to gauge equivalence, there exist two quasi-trigonometric solutions with non-trivial polynomial part.*

Proof. The root α_2 has coefficient $k = 1$ in the decomposition of the maximal root. The only possible choice for a triple $(\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \tilde{A}')$ with $\Gamma'_1 \subseteq \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$, $\Gamma'_2 \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ to be of type I or II is $\Gamma'_1 = \{\alpha_0, \}$, $\Gamma'_2 = \{\alpha_2, \}$, $\tilde{A}'(\alpha_0) = \alpha_2$. One can check that $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ is a 1-dimensional space spanned by the following pair $(\mathrm{diag}(-2, 1, 0, -1, 2), \mathrm{diag}(0, \sqrt{5}, 0, -\sqrt{5}, 0))$. The Lagrangian subalgebra $\mathfrak{i}'_2$ constructed from this triple is transversal to Δ_{α_2} in $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

The root α_1 has coefficient $k = 2$ in the decomposition of the maximal root. The only possible choice for a triple $(\Gamma'_1, \Gamma'_2, \tilde{A}')$ with $\Gamma'_1 \subseteq \{\alpha_0, \alpha_2\}$, $\Gamma'_2 \subseteq \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is again $\Gamma'_1 = \{\alpha_0, \}$, $\Gamma'_2 = \{\alpha_2, \}$, $\tilde{A}'(\alpha_0) = \alpha_2$ and $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ is as in the previous case. The Lagrangian subalgebra $\mathfrak{i}'_1$ constructed from this triple is transversal to Δ_{α_1} in $L_{\alpha_1} \times \mathfrak{g}$.

□

3. Quantization of quasi-trigonometric solutions

Let us consider the Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ given by the simplest quasi-trigonometric solution:

$$\delta(p(u)) = [r(u, v), p(u) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p(v)], \tag{3.1}$$

with $r(u, v) = v\Omega/(u - v) + \Sigma_\alpha e_\alpha \otimes f_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_0$, where e_α, f_α are root vectors of $\mathfrak{g}$ and Ω_0 is the Cartan part of Ω. Here δ and r are exactly the same as δ_3 and r_3 from the Introduction.

Quasi-trigonometric solutions correspond to Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ which are obtained by twisting δ. The quantization of the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$ is the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ introduced by V. Tolstoy in [14]. We will recall its construction with a slight modification.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra of rank l with a standard Cartan matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^l$, with a system of simple roots $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l\}$, and with a Chevalley basis h_{α_i}, $e_{\pm\alpha_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)$. Let θ be the maximal (positive) root of $\mathfrak{g}$. The corresponding non-twisted affine algebra with zero central charge $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$ and the additional affine elements $e_{\delta-\theta} := ue_{-\theta}$, $e_{-\delta+\theta} := u^{-1}e_\theta$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$, the positive root vector $e_{\delta-\theta}$ and the Cartan element $h_{\delta-\theta} = [e_{\delta-\theta}, e_{-\delta+\theta}]$. The standard defining relations of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ are

given by the formulas:

$$[h_{\alpha_i}, h_{\alpha_j}] = 0 , \tag{3.2}$$

$$[h_{\alpha_i}, e_{\pm\alpha_j}] = \pm(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) e_{\pm\alpha_j} , \tag{3.3}$$

$$[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{-\alpha_j}] = \delta_{ij} h_{\alpha_i} , \tag{3.4}$$

$$(\mathrm{ad} e_{\pm\alpha_i})^{n_{ij}} e_{\pm\alpha_j} = 0 \qquad \text{for } i \neq j, \;\; n_{ij} := 1 - a_{ij} , \tag{3.5}$$

$$[h_{\alpha_i}, e_{\delta-\theta}] = -(\alpha_i, \theta)\, e'_{\delta-\theta} , \tag{3.6}$$

$$[e_{-\alpha_i}, e_{\delta-\theta}] = 0 , \tag{3.7}$$

$$(\mathrm{ad} e_{\alpha_i})^{n_{i0}} e_{\delta-\theta} = 0 \quad \text{for } n_{i0} = 1 + 2(\alpha_i, \theta)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i), \tag{3.8}$$

$$[[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\delta-\theta}], e_{\delta-\theta}] = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \theta) \neq 0 , \tag{3.9}$$

$$[[[e_\alpha, e_{\delta-\alpha}], e_{\delta-\alpha}], e_{\delta-\alpha}] = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \;\; (\theta = \alpha) . \tag{3.10}$$

The quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ is a q-deformation of $U(\mathfrak{g}[u])$. The Chevalley generators for $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ are $k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1} := q^{\pm h_{\alpha_i}}$, $e_{\pm\alpha_i}$ $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, l)$, $e_{\delta-\theta}$ and $k_{\delta-\theta} := q^{h_{\delta-\theta}}$. Then the defining relations of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ are the following:

$$k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1} k_{\alpha_j}^{\pm 1} = k_{\alpha_j}^{\pm 1} k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1} , \tag{3.11}$$

$$k_{\alpha_i} k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} = k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} k_{\alpha_i} = 1 , \tag{3.12}$$

$$k_{\alpha_i} e_{\pm\alpha_j} k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} = q^{\pm(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} e_{\pm\alpha_j} , \tag{3.13}$$

$$[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{-\alpha_i}] = \frac{k_{\alpha_i} - k_{\alpha_i}^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} , \tag{3.14}$$

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q e_{\pm\alpha_i})^{n_{ij}} e_{\pm\alpha_j} = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \;\; n_{ij} := 1 - a_{ij} , \tag{3.15}$$

$$k_{\alpha_i} e_{\delta-\theta} k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} = q^{-(\alpha_i, \theta)} e_{\delta-\theta} , \tag{3.16}$$

$$[e_{-\alpha_i}, e_{\delta-\theta}] = 0 , \tag{3.17}$$

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q e_{\alpha_i})^{n_{i0}} e_{\delta-\theta} = 0 \quad \text{for } n_{i0} = 1 + 2(\alpha_i, \theta)/(\alpha_i, \alpha_i), \tag{3.18}$$

$$[[e_{\alpha_i}, e_{\delta-\theta}]_q, e_{\delta-\theta}]_q = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{sl}_2 \text{ and } (\alpha_i, \theta) \neq 0 , \tag{3.19}$$

$$[[[e_\alpha, e_{\delta-\alpha}]_q, e_{\delta-\alpha}]_q, e_{\delta-\alpha}]_q = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 , \tag{3.20}$$

where $(\mathrm{ad}_q e_\beta) e_\gamma$ is the q-commutator:

$$(\mathrm{ad}_q e_\beta) e_\gamma := [e_\beta, e_\gamma]_q := e_\beta e_\gamma - q^{(\beta,\gamma)} e_\gamma e_\beta . \tag{3.21}$$

The comultiplication Δ_q, the antipode S_q, and the co-unit ε_q of $U_q(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_q(k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1}) &= k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1} \otimes k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1} \\ \Delta_q(e_{-\alpha_i}) &= e_{-\alpha_i} \otimes k_{\alpha_i} + 1 \otimes e_{-\alpha_i} , \\ \Delta_q(e_{\alpha_i}) &= e_{\alpha_i} \otimes 1 + k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \otimes e_{\alpha_i} , \\ \Delta_q(e_{\delta-\theta}) &= e_{\delta-\theta} \otimes 1 + k_{\delta-\theta}^{-1} \otimes e_{\delta-\theta} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_q(k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1}) &= k_{\alpha_i}^{\mp 1} \ , \\
S_q(e_{-\alpha_i}) &= -e_{-\alpha_i} k_{\alpha_i}^{-1} \ , \\
S_q(e_{\alpha_i}) &= -k_{\alpha_i} e_{\alpha_i} \ , \\
S_q(e_{\delta-\theta}) &= -k_{\delta-\theta} e_{\delta-\theta} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}
$$

$$
\varepsilon_q(e_{\pm\alpha_i}) = \varepsilon_q(e_{\delta-\theta}) = 0 \ , \qquad \varepsilon_q(k_{\alpha_i}^{\pm 1}) = 1. \tag{3.24}
$$

We see that

$$
k_{\delta-\theta} = k_{\alpha_1}^{-n_1} k_{\alpha_2}^{-n_2} \cdots k_{\alpha_l}^{-n_l} \tag{3.25}
$$

if $\theta = n_1\alpha_1 + n_2\alpha_2 + \cdots + n_l\alpha_l$.

Let us consider now $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$, which is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}[\hbar]$ defined by the previous relations in which we set $q = \exp(\hbar)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The classical limit of $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ is $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$.*

Proof. Since the image of $e_{\delta-\theta}$ in $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])/\hbar U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ is ue_θ, we can identify the classical limit of $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ with $U(\mathfrak{g}[u])$.

It remains to prove that for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}[u]$ and its preimage $\tilde{a} \in U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$, we have

$$
\hbar^{-1}(\Delta(\tilde{a}) - \Delta^{op}(\tilde{a})) \mod \hbar = \delta(a).
$$

It is clear that $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $U_\hbar(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ with zero central charge. It follows from [3] that $\Delta^{op}(\tilde{a}) = R\Delta(\tilde{a})R^{-1}$, where $R = 1 \otimes 1 + \hbar r + ...$ is the universal R-matrix for $U_\hbar(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ (see also [8] and [5]).

Writing $\tilde{a} = a + \hbar s$, we see that

$$
\hbar^{-1}(\Delta(\tilde{a}) - R\Delta(a)R^{-1}) \mod \hbar = [r, a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes a] = \delta(a).
$$

This ends the proof of the theorem. □

The Lie bialgebra structures induced by quasi-trigonometric solutions are obtained by twisting δ via a classical twist. According to Theorem 2.13, any such twist is given by a triple $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}')$ of type I or II together with a Lagrangian subspace $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'}$ of $\mathfrak{a}'$ such that (2.6) is satisfied.

On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 states that classical twists can be extended to quantum twists.

We conclude that any data of the form $(\Gamma_1', \Gamma_2', \tilde{A}', \mathfrak{i}_{\mathfrak{a}'})$ provides a twisted comultiplication and antipode in the quantum algebra $U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$. In case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(n)$, some exact formulas were obtained in [7].

Acknowledgement. The authors are thankful to V. Tolstoy for valuable discussions.

References

[1] A. Belavin, V. Drinfeld, *Triangle equations and simple Lie algebras.* Math. Phys. Reviews, Vol. **4** (1984), Harwood Academic, 93–165.

[2] P. Delorme, *Classification des triples de Manin pour les algèbres de Lie réductives complexes.* J. Algebra, **246** (2001), 97–174.

[3] V. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups.* Proceedings ICM (Berkeley 1986) **1** (1987), Amer. Math. Soc., 798–820.

[4] G. Halbout, *Formality theorem for Lie bialgebras and quantization of twists and coboundary r-matrices.* Adv. Math. **207** (2006), 617–633.

[5] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for the generalized Toda system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **102**, no.1 (1986), 537-547.

[6] S. Khoroshkin, I. Pop, A. Stolin, V. Tolstoy, *On some Lie bialgebra structures on polynomial algebras and their quantization.* Preprint no. 21, 2003/2004, Mittag-Leffler Institute, Sweden.

[7] S. Khoroshkin, I. Pop, M. Samsonov, A. Stolin, V. Tolstoy, *On some Lie bialgebra structures on polynomial algebras and their quantization.* ArXiv math. QA/0706.1651v1. To appear in Comm. Math. Phys.

[8] S. Khoroshkin, V. Tolstoy, *Universal R-matrix for quantized (super) algebras.* Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), 599-617.

[9] F. Montaner, E. Zelmanov, *Bialgebra structures on current Lie algebras.* Preprint, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1993.
[10] A. Stolin, *On rational solutions of Yang-Baxter equations. Maximal orders in loop algebra.* Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), 533–548.
[11] A. Stolin, *A geometrical approach to rational solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation.* Part I. *Symposia Gaussiana, Conf.A*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1995, 347–357.
[12] A. Stolin, *Some remarks on Lie bialgebra structures for simple complex Lie algebras.* Comm. Alg. **27** (9) (1999), 4289–4302.
[13] A. Stolin, J. Yermolova–Magnusson, *The 4th structure.* Czech. J. Phys, Vol. **56**, No. 10/11 (2006), 1293–1927.
[14] V. Tolstoy, *From quantum affine Kac–Moody algebras to Drinfeldians and Yangians.* in *Kac–Moody Lie algebras and related topics*, Contemp. Math. **343**, Amer. Math. Soc. 2004, 349–370.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Sweden
E-mail address: iulia@math.chalmers.se, astolin@math.chalmers.se